## Exhibit 1

## Inside look: Titleist's big Case 1:06-cv-00091-SLR Document 180-1 Filed 07/17/07 Page 2 of 3 Page 10 #: 2886 Titleist cont'd from p. 1 long-time stranglehold on the ball market might be in jeopardy – a number that grew dramatically after Tiger Woods switched to the Nike Tour Accuracy ball in Mary 2000 makeover

JOHN STEINBREDER

ot quite a year ago, in the weeks following the debut of the Pro V1 at the Invensys Classic in Las Vegas, Titleist executives were feeling good about the ball's introduction.

"We had a lot of momentum and awareness coming out of Vegas," says George Sine, vice president of golf ball marketing and strategic planning worldwide for Acushnet. "And that's just what you want with a new product."

The Pro V1's instant success – including play by tournament winner Billy Andrade and six top 10s - was a mixed blessing, however. The company was going to have to start making the balls available to consumers well before its planned March 2001 introduction - five months away - and had only about 1,000 dozen on hand, less than a month's inventory.

As limited quantities shipped to Sun Belt markets for sale in December, Titleist management began grappling with whether to undertake a vast conversion of much of its wound-ball production capability - even before the success of the Pro V1 was assured that would end up costing \$15 million.

Now, with the company's makeover complete, it is being characterized as nothing short of a business miracle by at least one industry analyst.

"There were a number of days when we had cottonmouth and tight underwear," says Wally Uihlein, the chief executive officer of Acushnet Co., the parent of Titleist. "The anxiety level was extremely high because we were betting the farm on the success of this

ball. We essentially elected to obsolete ourselves in a very important area and put everything behind the Pro V1."

Titleist executives recently told Golfweek how the company responded to events that followed the ball's introduction - and how it managed the rapid changeover.

A year ago, the executives were saying very little, quietly preparing their response to those who questioned whether Titleist's

see Titleist, page 23

the Nike Tour Accuracy ball in May 2000.

Woods had been playing the Titleist Professional, a wound ball with a liquid-filled center. But when he teed up a solid Nike, he spurred a shift to nonwound balls as players sought more distance without sacrificing critical feel properties.

And most of Titleist's franchise for professional and serious amateur golfers centered around wound golf balls, such as Professional and Tour Prestige. When Woods made his change, Titleist was deriving 45 percent of its total ball revenues from wound products. So when the shift toward the use of solid construction balls among touring professionals began, Titleist seemed to be in a heap of trouble.

"That was certainly my take," says Casey Alexander, special situations analyst for Gilford Securities in New York, "At the end of last year, I considered Titleist to be the most at-risk ball company, primarily because of what was happening in that area and the fact that their premium ball business was mostly wound."

Nearly a year later, Alexander admits he was dead wrong.

"As far as I'm concerned, Titleist has pulled off a business miracle," says Alexander. "It has not only managed to hold onto its share of the ball business but also grow in what has been a brutally competitive market. The company has converted almost half its production capacity from one kind of manufacturing to another, and done so with remarkable speed and efficiency. And in the process, it has produced the hottest product in golf."

That product, of course, is the Pro V1, and almost anyone who carries a handicap has heard of it. The ball features a large rubber core, an ionomer casing and a thin urethane cover that combine to give greater distance and feel. Titleist spokesman Phil Mickelson calls it "the best ball that's ever been created," and dozens of touring pros, whether they are under contract to the Fairhaven, Mass., equipment maker or not. have rushed to put it in play. Weekend golfers practically dive into water hazards to fetch ones they dumped into the drink, and club pros have hoarded sleeves of Pro V1s like black-market diamonds, selling precious dribs and drabs to their members while they badger sales reps for more.

The product is so sought after that, according to Titleist executives, Pro V1 captured an astonishing 10.5 percent share of balls sales at on- and off-course golf specialty stores for June, just seven months after it went on the market.

How did Titleist pull it off? It wasn't easy, it wasn't cheap, and it didn't

happen overnight.

We first started building Pro V1 prototypes in the mid-1990s," says Uihlein. "We had some of our Tour players hit what we called a Professional II, which had a urethane cover like the Professional but a solid core. However, we didn't think it was good enough to bring to market."

That didn't stop Titleist from continuing to work on that concept, and in 1997 the company filed its first patent for the future Pro Document 180-1 Filed 07/17/07

V1. Development of the then-unnamed golf ball continued at a fairly steady pace through the 2000 PGA Merchandise Show in Orlando, Fla.

"But then things really accelerated," Uihlein says. "We had made the decision to wait and see what our competitors came out with at the show and where we had to be for our product to be better. And once we knew what they had, we really pushed on."

According to an industry executive who asked that his name not be used, Titleist officials were particularly impressed with the new Callaway Rule 35 golf ball, and they told the research and development team to come up with something better in very short order.

In June 2000, shortly after Woods officially switched to the new Nike Tour Accuracy, Titleist orchestrated what it called the 100man march, in which it had more than 100 Tour players test its latest ball, comparing it with its own products as well as those produced by competitors.

"That lasted a couple of months, with Phil Mickelson being our Chuck Yeager, our lead test pilot," Uihlein says, "When all was said and done, we had between 80 percent and 90 percent saving they would put the new ball in play. So we were ready to go."

By that time, the "new ball" had acquired an official moniker, Pro V1, which was nothing more than a code name created by Bill Morgan, Acushnet senior vice president of golf ball research and development.

"We needed to give it a name, any name, so we could submit it to the USGA for approval," says Morgan.

The next step was to put the ball in tournament play, and that came last October at the Invensys Classic in Las Vegas when 47 PGA touring pros, about a third of the field, played the new Pro V1 after it made its debut on the U.S. Golf Association's conforming list. And just about everybody playing in the Vegas event was talking up the ball by the end of the tournament.

Even more players teed up the Pro V1 at the next Tour stop, and pros began converting in droves. The media quickly picked up the story, and that got the general golf public worked up. Everyone, it seemed, had to have the new ball, and the quirky code name Morgan had given it quickly became one of the most recognizable in golf. Shortly after the Las Vegas tournament, executives at Titleist decided to keep the Pro V1 name because it had gathered tremendous cachet in only a few weeks.

That presented a number of production difficulties, especially as it related to capacity. The original plan was for Titleist to keep producing urethane-covered golf balls at a rate of roughly 3.5 million to 4 million dozen a year,

Page 3 of 3 PageID #: 2887 with the Pro V1 eventually replacing the Professionals, Tour Prestiges and Tour Balatas it was making. All of that would take place at Ball Plant No. 1 in Acushnet, Mass., where the company had seven manufacturing cells.

"We had been making some prototype balls in April and May, and in the summer of 2000 we decided to dedicate one cell exclusively to Pro V1 production," says Morgan.

And at the time of the Vegas debut, Uihlein says the company only had about four weeks of inventory on hand, perhaps 1,000 dozen golf balls.

"Remember," Uihlein says, "we were planning for a March introduction. And that would have allowed us the time to build our production capacity."

But the consumer response to Pro V1 did not give Titleist that luxury of time, and the company feverishly began converting urethane-cover production to that new ball. (The Pro V1 cover is thinner than those used for Professional and Tour Prestige and the material is somewhat different.) Titleist also announced that it no longer would produce Tour Prestige or Tour Balata, and cut way back on the making of Professional. At the same time. Titleist began adding production cells, and by the middle of 2001 it had a total of 12 in operation, with more being planned for 2002.

"Not only were we getting almost 100 percent cannibalization on our urethane-cover balls, but we were also picking up share from some of our other lines, and from competitors," says Uihlein. "That's why the production numbers went up."

According to company officials, Titleist is producing urethane-covered balls at a rate of 5 million dozen a year, 95 percent of which are Pro V1s, and is gearing up to go to 6 million as it gets ready to introduce some new Pro V1 product early in 2002.

"We did in two months what we normally had to accomplish in six," says Sine. "But we had no choice."

Not surprisingly, it has not been a very stress-free undertaking.

"Yes, we were very confident about this ball because we were getting a lot of enthusiastic feedback from our Tour players," Uihlein says. "Still, it was a challenging process, and the speed at which this all took place is unprecedented, especially as it related to increasing capacity to meet the demand. I think it is the most massive paradigm shift ever seen in the golf ball category, and certainly the most intense and accelerated one in any product category I've ever witnessed.'

Pretty big talk, to be sure. But people have been saying things like that about Pro V1 ever since Las Vegas.

True to its reputation, the ball has gone a long way in a very short time.